

## Questions for clarifications

- 1.) Claimant in the letter to Herod demands restitution and compensation, but later in her reply claims only compensation. Should we presume that Claimant in the Statement of Claim demands both or only compensation?

Please refer to the Case-Study.

- 2.) What does the Revenue Lease Agreement under the Claimant's third part of the reply refer to? Only bitumen exploitation or also the balsam and date plantations?

Please refer to the Case-Study.

- 3.) The end of the second paragraph of Kleopatra's fifth reply states that forced citizenship was NOT illegal and opposable under international law, while the previous content of the same paragraph indicates that it is inconsistent with international law. Which contention should be presumed as correct?

Please refer to the Case-Study.

- 4.) Are 200 talents as obligation of Herod in Revenue Lease Agreement meant for the right to collect revenues and lease of the properties together or for each obligation separately, due to some inconsistencies regarding the case-study and Revenue Lease Agreement?

For example, Clause 3 of the Revenue Lease Agreement states that Herod shall pay annual rent of 200 Talents for both rights. On the other hand page 3 of the case-study states that Herod was to pay 200 talents per year in exchange for the rights to collect revenues from Nabataea and 200 talents for the lease of the Jericho lands.

M. Stacy Schiff did not have access to the original concession contract, which has not been preserved (see p. 7 of the Case-Study).

- 5.) How did Marcus Antonius obtain the right to collect revenues in Nabataea? Does any legal title exist?

Please refer to the Case-Study.

- 6.) Is it permitted to apply rules of the contemporary international law which indicate to rules of international law as they existed *at the time* (Intertemporal rule), e.g. prohibition of the use of force did not exist in the 1<sup>st</sup> century BC?

Please refer to the Case-Study.

- 7.) The rules state that the skeleton submission should be 15<sup>th</sup> February however the case study states 10<sup>th</sup> February. Could you please confirm the correct date?

15 February 2017 is the correct date.

8.) In the Jewish Antiquities Flavius refers to “Anthony” and in the case study it is “Marcus Antonius” can you confirm this is the same person?

Yes.

9.) In point 1 of Kleopatra’s response it states “However this is not the investment”. Does this refer to the Bitmen territory as not the investment and that the revenue from the lease is the investment?

Please refer to the Case-Study.

10.) We were sent a portal link and wanted to clarify if we were expecting to look at further international treaties, if so can you please confirm that this is required?

Access is for your convenience only. Whether or not you make use of it, as well as the extent to which you do should you chose to, is entirely up to you.